

CF# 5002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 5980 /2006

PETITIONERS

- :1) Smt. Sandhya Ray, W/o. Shri/
Tandan Das Ray, aged about 35
years, Occupation- Govt. Servant
(Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary
School, Salhebhatta, Block and
District Mahasamund, R/o. Ram
Rahim Nagar, Mahasamund, Block
and District Mahasamund (C.G.)
- :2) Shri Kamal Singh Diwan, Shri/
Sonsay Diwan, aged about 39 years,
Occupation- Govt. Servant
(Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary
School, Pacheda, Block Bagbahra,
District Mahasamund) R/o. Sher,
Mahasamund, District
Mahasamund (C.G.)
- :3) Jitendra Kumar Chandrakar, S/o./
Shri Ghanshyam Lal Chandrakar,
aged about 41 years, Occupation-
Govt. Servant (Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Primary School, Ravanbhatta,
Mahasamund Block and District
Mahasamund) R/o. Ward No.3,
Idgahbhatta, Mahasamund, District
Mahasamund (C.G.)
- :4) Krishna Kumar Chandrakar, S/o./
Shri Punit Ram Chandrakar

P.R. No. 6012/06
Presented by S. C. Singh
21-10-06
3:25 PM

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR




Chandrakar, aged about 41 years,
Occupation- Govt. Servant
(Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle
School, Bakma, Block and District
Mahasamund) R/o. Kharora,
Mahasamund, District
Mahasamund (C.G.)

- :5) Chetan Singh Diwan, W/o. Shri /
Guhan Singh Diwan, aged about 37
years, Occupation- Govt. Servant
(Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary
School, Mararkasibahra Block
Bagbahra, District Mahasamund)
R/o. Village and Post Mohandi,
Block Bagbahra, District
Mahasamund (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- :1) State of Chhattisgarh, Through /
Secretary, School Education
Department, DKS Bhavan,
Raipur (C.G.)
- :2) District Education Officer, /
Mahasamund,
District Mahasamund (C.G.),
- :3) The Block Education Officer /
Mahasamund,
District Mahasamund (C.G.),
- :4) The Block Education Officer
Bagbahra, District
Mahasamund (C.G.),



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 5980 OF 2006

Petitioners : **Smt. Sandhya Ray and others**

versus

Respondents : **State of Chhattisgarh and others**

Present : Miss. Hamida Siddique, counsel for the petitioners.
Miss Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the
respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on 6th of November, 2006)

By this petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners seek a direction to the respondents to pay the increments and seniority on the basis of the date of their initial appointments in the light of the decision rendered by the learned State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur in Original Application No.1452 of 1998 (*Harish Chand Singh and others vs. State of M.P. and others*) dated 31-1-2000, Original Application No.802 of 1998 (*Akhilesh Mishra and others vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others*) and Original Application No.991 of 1998 (*Ramayan Prasad Dwivedi and others vs. State of M.P. and others*).

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners placed on record a copy of the order dated 20-9-2006 passed by this Court in Writ Petition (S.) No.5137 of 2006 (*Meghraj Tandan vs. State of*

Chhattisgarh and others) and submits that the petitioners are similarly situated, therefore the similar order may be passed.

3. The cases of the petitioners are that they were appointed as Assistant Teachers in the School Education Department on probation for a period of two years with the fixed salary. However, later on, after completion of two years' probation, the petitioners were regularized, but, they were not given the regular pay-scale. Other similarly situated teachers moved the State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur for regular pay-scale, wherein learned Tribunal, vide order dated 31-1-2000, allowed the same. The petitioners made several representations to accord the same treatment as they are also similarly situated teachers, but, the respondents have not paid any heed. Thus, this petition.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 to give similar treatment to the petitioners and the same pay-scale which has been sanctioned to other similarly situated teachers on account of the order of the State Administrative Tribunal dated 31-1-2000, to which learned counsel for the respondents/State has no objection.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, in the opinion of this Court, the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners appears to be reasonable. Therefore, the petitioners are allowed to make a representation within a period of two weeks from today to

respondent No.1, raising all their grievances and, in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the said representation in an objective manner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of such representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioners and taking into account the order dated 31-1-2000 passed by the learned State Administrative Tribunal in Original Application No.1452 of 1998, and if the petitioners stand on the same footings, as the petitioners in the Original Application No.1452 of 1998, then they be also sanctioned the same pay-scale and seniority.

6. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of with the aforesaid direction. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu